

Appendix 8: Assessment of Reporting Criteria According to the Guidance from CASP and COREQ

Reporting Criteria	Study 1	Study 2	Study 3	Study 4 etc.
1. Aim				
Aim explicitly stated and relevant				
2. Qualitative Approach				
Design aligned to aim				
Theoretical framework reported				
3. Design				
Design aligned to aims				
Design appropriately justified				
4. Recruitment				
A priori participant selection criteria				
Recruitment strategy explained for replication				
Purposive sampling used				
5. Data Collection				
Data collection explicit, enabling replication				
Research setting identified				
Focus group, interview, survey described				
Data recorded and transcribed				
Field notes taken				
Data saturation reported				
6. Researcher-participant relationship				
Interviewer level of influence of described				
Interviewer identified and described				
7. Ethical Issues				
Ethics committee approval obtained				
Explanation of the study given				
Informed consent obtained				
Anonymous transcripts used				
Confidentiality described				
8. Analysis				
Type (thematic, content)				
Explicit steps of analysis process				
2 researchers performed analysis				
Quotations to support findings				
Contrary observations				
9. Findings				
Explicit statement of findings				
Credibility				
Discussion linked to aims/literature				
Strengths and limitations				

10. Value				
Contribution to knowledge base				
Transferability (generalizability)				
Recommendations for practices				
Recommendations for research				

Reproduced from: Hall AM, Scurry SR, Pike AE, Albury C, Richmond HL, Matthews J, et al. Physician-reported barriers to using evidence-based recommendations for low back pain in clinical practice: a systematic review and synthesis of qualitative studies using the Theoretical Domains Framework. *Implement Sci.* 2019;14:49.