Supplementary materials

Appendix I: Search Terms

Data Base	Search Term
CINAHL	(parent mediated OR parent* based or care* or guardian) AND (intervention* OR
	program* OR treatment* OR capacity building OR deliver* OR involvement OR
	implement*) AND (paediatric OR child* OR adolescent* OR youth) AND
	(neurodevelopmental disorders OR autism OR autism spectrum disorders OR asd
	OR attention deficit hyperactivity disorder OR adhd OR intellectual disability OR
	specific learning disorder OR motor disorders OR communication disorders) AND (rural* OR remote* OR regional* OR non-urban OR non metropolitan)
	(turar Ok Temote Ok Tegronar Ok non-urban Ok non metropontan)
Psychinfo	("Parents"[MeSH Terms]) OR ("Caregivers" [MeSH Terms]) OR ("Guardians" [All
	Terms])
	AND
	("Parent-mediated" [All Fields]) OR ("Parent Training" [MeSH Terms]) OR
	("Intervention" [MeSH Terms]) OR ("Program" [All Fields]) OR ("Collaboration"
	[MeSH Terms]) OR ("Training" [MeSH Terms)
	AND
	("Pediatrics" [MeSH Term]) OR ("Paediatrics" [All Fields]) OR ("Child"[All Fields]) OR ("Mesh Term]) OR ("Mesh Term" [All Fields]) OR ("Mesh Term" [All Fields]) OR ("West Term" [All Fiel
	Fields]) OR "Children"[All Fields]) OR ("Adolescent" [All Fields]) OR ("Youth" [All Fields])
	AND
	("Neurodevelopmental Disorders [MeSH Term]) OR ("Autism Spectrum Disorders"
	[MeSH terms]) OR ("Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity" [MeSH terms])
	OR ("Intellectual Disability" [All Fields]) OR ("Intellectual Development

	Disorder" [MeSH Terms]) OR ("Communication Disorders" [MeSH Terms]) OR
	("Learning Disorders" [MeSH Terms]) OR ("Movement Disorders" [MeSH Terms])
	AND
	("Rural Environments" [MeSH Terms]) OR ("Rural Health" [MeSH Terms]) OR
	("Rural" [All Fields]) OR ("Remote" [All Fields]) OR ("Regional" [All Fields)
	(Kurar [Air Ficius]) OK (Keniote [Air Ficius])
ProQuest	(parent mediated OR parent* based or care* or guardian) AND (intervention* OR
	program* OR treatment* OR capacity building OR deliver* OR involvement OR
nursing and	
allied health	implement*) AND (paediatric OR child* OR adolescent* OR youth) AND
database	(neurodevelopmental disorders OR autism OR autism spectrum disorders OR asd
	OR attention deficit hyperactivity disorder OR adhd OR intellectual disability OR
	specific learning disorder OR motor disorders OR communication disorders) AND
	(rural* OR remote* OR regional* OR non-urban OR non metropolitan)
Ebscohost	(parent mediated OR parent* based or care* or guardian) AND (intervention* OR
Psycholohy	program* OR treatment* OR capacity building OR deliver* OR involvement OR
and	implement*) AND (paediatric OR child* OR adolescent* OR youth) AND
behavioural	(neurodevelopmental disorders OR autism OR autism spectrum disorders OR asd
sciences	OR attention deficit hyperactivity disorder OR adhd OR intellectual disability OR
collection	specific learning disorder OR motor disorders OR communication disorders) AND
	(rural* OR remote* OR regional* OR non-urban OR non metropolitan)
SocINDEX	(parent mediated OR parent* based or care* or guardian) AND (intervention* OR
	program* OR treatment* OR capacity building OR deliver* OR involvement OR
	implement*) AND (paediatric OR child* OR adolescent* OR youth) AND
	(neurodevelopmental disorders OR autism OR autism spectrum disorders OR asd
	OR attention deficit hyperactivity disorder OR adhd OR intellectual disability OR
	specific learning disorder OR motor disorders OR communication disorders) AND
	(rural* OR remote* OR regional* OR non-urban OR non metropolitan)

Appendix II: PRISMA-P

Characteristics of Effective Parent-Mediated Interventions for Parents of Children with Neurodevelopmental Disorders in Rural Areas: A Systematic Review Protocol

PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol*

Section and topic	Item No	Checklist item	
ADMINISTRATIVE	E INFO	ORMATION	
Title:			Page 1
Identification -	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review	
Update -	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such	Not applicable
Registration.	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number	
Authors:			
Contact -	3a	Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author	Page 1
Contributions.	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review	Page 14
Amendments – Page 2	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments	
Support:			
Sources –	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review	Page 14
Sponsor –	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor	Page 14
Role of sponsor or funder-	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol	NA

Rationale –	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known	Page 4		
Objectives –	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)			
METHODS					
Eligibility criteria –	8	Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review	Page 10		
Information sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage	Page 11		
Search strategy –	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated	Page 11		
Study records:					
Data management –	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review	Page 12		
Selection process –	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis)	Page 13		
Data collection process -	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators	Page 12 and Appendix II		
Data items –	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications	Page 13 and appendix II		
Outcomes and prioritization –	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale	Page 13		
Risk of bias in individual studies –	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis	Page 13		
Data synthesis –	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised	Page 14		
	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as I^2 , Kendall's τ)			
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)			
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned			
Meta-bias(es) –	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)	Page 13		

Confidence in	17 Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE)	NA as not
cumulative		completing a
evidence –		meta-analysis

^{*} It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the PRISMA-P Explanation and Elaboration (cite when available) for important clarification on the items. Amendments to a review protocol should be tracked and dated. The copyright for PRISMA-P (including checklist) is held by the PRISMA-P Group and is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Licence 4.0.

From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015 Jan 2;349(jan02 1):g7647.

Appendix III: Data extraction tool

Systematic review de	etails:				
Systematic Review title:	Characteristics of effective parent-mediated interventions for parents of children with neurodevelopmental disorders in rural areas: A systematic review				
Review objective:			parent-mediated int ental disorders resid	·	
Review question/s:			e parent-mediated in ental disorders living	· ·	
Inclusion/Exclusion Crite	eria				
Participants:	All publications will be included which present parent or children qualitative or quantitative outcomes.				
Concept:	All publications that discuss parent-mediated programs for parents of children and adolescent with neurodevelopmental disorders in rural areas will be included. This will include face to face, telehealth, educational or group-based parent mediated programs.				
Context:	The review will consider publications with participants living outside of urban spaces. The program provider could still be located in an urban area, via telehealth, for instance, but the participants (outcome) will be living in rural areas.				
Types of evidence:	We will include all qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods research referring to parents or children's outcomes. Literature published in English will be included. Studies published from 2013 to 2024				
Evidence source c	haracteristics				
Citation details:	Author(s): Year: Title: Publication/Journal: DOI/URL:				
Language:	English				
Publication type:	Journal	Report	Book	Chapter	

Study/publication method:	Analytical cross- sectional study		control udy	Case rep	ort	Case serie	s Cohort study
	Diagnostic test accuracy study		nomic uation	Qualitat researd		Quasi- experiment study	Randomised control trial
Ethics:	Approval stat						
Aim of the study:							
Study funding sources:							
Possible conflicts of interest for study authors:							
Parent Program de	etails:						
Country:							
Country classification (by income level)	Low Lower-mide Upper-mide						
Participants details	s:						
Population description:							
Inclusion criteria:							
Exclusion criteria:							
Method of recruitment of participants:	Phone Mail Clinic patier Voluntary Other	nt s					
Total number of participants:							
Program age range (children)	to	yea	rs of age.		□ N	ot stated	Mean age (Years) ± SD
Program age range (parent)	to	yea	rs of age.			ot stated	Mean age (Years) ± SD
Gender distribution (child):	Male (%	·) I	Female (_	%)	10 <i>N</i> _)	n-binary %)	Not stated
Socioeconomic status:	High (%)	Middle (_	%)	Low	(%)	Not stated

Children neurodevelopmental	ASD	ADHD		Intellecti disabilit		Specific learning disorder	
disorders:	Motor disorder			nication rder	Other		
Parental level of education:							
Socioeconomic status:	☐High☐Medium☐Low☐Not stated☐	Medium Low					
Number of withdrawals:							
Reason for							
withdrawals:							
Strategy details:							
Mode of delivery	□ Face to face□ Online□ telehealth						
	☐ Mixed mode						
Setting	☐ Home						
	☐ Clinic ☐ community						
	□ Other						
	☐ Occupational therapists						
Professionals	□ Nurse						
	☐ Speech pathologist						
	Physiotherapist						
	☐ Psychologist ☐ Doctor						
	☐ Multidisciplinary						
	others						
	□ <1 week (less t	han a	week)				
Duration (weeks)	☐ 1-4 weeks (Less than a month)						
	☐ 4-16 weeks (1-4 months)						
	□ 16-26 weeks (\						
	☐ 26-34 weeks (6-8 months)						
	☐ 34-52 weeks (8						
	□ >52 weeks (mo	ore tha	ın a year)				
Frequency (sessions							
per week)	☐ 2-3 ☐ 2.5						
	□ 3-5						
	□ 5-7						

Intensity (minutes per	□ Up to 30 minutes			
session)	☐ 30 minutes – 60 minutes			
	□ 60 – 90 minutes			
	□ 90-120 minutes			
Format of	☐ Individual (one parent)			
intervention	☐ Individual (both parents)			
	☐ Group based			
Detail description of				
strategy:				
Number of				
withdrawals:				
Reason for				
withdrawals:				
Outcomes:				
Primary outcome(s)	Child			
measured:	□ Behaviour			
	□ Social functioning			
	□ Communication			
	□ Adaptive functioning			
	□ Other:			
	Parent			
	□ Education			
	□ Communication			
	☐ Parent-child relationship			
	□ Other:			
Secondary outcome(s)	Child			
measured:	□ Behaviour			
	□ Social functioning			
	Communication			
	☐ Adaptive functioning			
	□ Other:			
	Parent			
	☐ Education			
	☐ Communication			
	☐ Parent-child relationship			
	Other:			
Source of outcome	☐ Direct assessment of children with standardised measures			
data	☐ Direct assessment of children without standardised measures			
	Parent report using standardised measures.			
0 1 "	Parent report without standardised measures			
Casual effect	☐ Effective: description of treatment effect			
	☐ Ineffective: description of treatment null effect			
	□ Other:			
Vov findings				
Key findings:				