

## Supplementary file 4: Detailed survey responses

Table 1: Researchers' perceptions of irresponsible research reporting practices

Health research reporting practice Total n=198	This is acceptable	This is not best practice, but it does not really matter, as it doesn't affect the science	This is unacceptable
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
<b>Authorship practices</b>			
Adding the head of department who has not contributed sufficiently	26 (13)	43 (22)	129 (65)
Adding an expert in the field who has not contributed sufficiently to the research	21 (11)	43 (22)	134 (68)
Acknowledging a biostatistician for assistance with data analysis (as opposed to listing as an author)	127 (64)	5 (3)	66 (33)
Omitting an author who has contributed substantially to the research	1 (0.5)	2 (1)	195 (98)
<b>Redundant publication</b>			
Text-recycling (using one's own work from a previous publication in another)	34 (17)	23 (12)	141 (71)
<b>Plagiarism</b>			
Translating a text without acknowledging the original source	3 (2)	6 (3)	189 (95)
Copying an idea without acknowledgement of the original source	5 (3)	15 (8)	178 (90)
<b>Conflict of interest</b>			
Not declaring previous financial reimbursement from a company involved in a research project	13 (7)	12 (6)	173 (87)
Not declaring the wife's link to a company involved in a research project	26 (13)	21 (11)	151 (76)

Table 2: Researchers' awareness of occurrence of irresponsible research reporting practices

Health reporting practice Total n=198	Have done this before	Have not done this but <i>are</i> aware of other people doing it	Have not done this and are <i>not</i> aware of other people doing it
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
<b>Authorship practices</b>			
Adding the head of department who has not contributed sufficiently	48 (24)	113 (57)	37 (19)
Adding an expert in the field who has not contributed sufficiently to the research	42 (21)	103 (52)	53 (27)
Acknowledging a biostatistician for assistance with data analysis (as opposed to listing as an author)	103 (52)	60 (30)	35 (18)
Omitting an author who has contributed substantially to the research	4 (2)	83 (42)	111 (56)
<b>Redundant publication</b>			
Text-recycling (using one's own work from a previous publication in another)	22 (11)	95 (48)	80 (40)
<b>Plagiarism</b>			
Translating a text without acknowledging the original source)	4 (2)	73 (37)	121 (61)
Copying an idea without acknowledgement	5 (3)	84 (42)	109 (55)
<b>Conflict of interest</b>			
Not declaring previous financial reimbursement from a company involved in a research project)	5 (3)	85 (43)	108 (55)
Not declaring the wife's link to a company involved in a research project	3 (2)	58 (29)	137 (69)

Table 3: Occurrence of irresponsible health research reporting practices in respondents' current institution

Health reporting practice Total n=198	Happens most of the time	Happens occasionally	Happens rarely	Never happens	Don't know
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
<b>Authorship practices</b>					
Adding the head of department who has not contributed sufficiently	26 (13)	78 (39)	49 (25)	35 (18)	10 (5)
Adding an expert in the field who has not contributed sufficiently to the research	26 (13)	65 (33)	49 (25)	51 (26)	7 (4)
Acknowledging a biostatistician for assistance with data analysis (as opposed to listing as an author)	70 (35)	62 (31)	34 (17)	22 (11)	10 (5)
Omitting an author who has contributed substantially to the research	2 (1)	28 (14)	51 (26)	103 (52)	14 (7)
<b>Redundant publication</b>					
Text-recycling (using one's own work from a previous publication in another)	16 (8)	50 (25)	52 (26)	56 (28)	24 (12)
<b>Plagiarism</b>					
Translating a text without acknowledging the original source	3 (2)	24 (12)	47 (24)	101 (52)	23 (12)
Copying an idea without acknowledgement	2 (1)	24 (12)	59 (30)	91 (46)	22 (11)
<b>Conflict of interest</b>					
Not declaring previous financial reimbursement from a company involved in a research project	3 (2)	30 (15)	47 (24)	95 (48)	23 (12)
Not declaring the wife's link to a company involved in a research project	1 (0.5)	14 (7)	41 (21)	110 (56)	32 (16)

